

From: [PETERSON Jenn L](#)
To: [Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Cc: [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Subject: RE: Data Availability on Portal
Date: 04/05/2006 02:54 PM

Thanks! You also might want to request the transition water data in raw format at the same time in case we need Arron to work with that data in the future.

-Jennifer

-----Original Message-----
From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov
[mailto:Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2006 2:19 PM
To: PETERSON Jenn L
Cc: Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: RE: Data Availability on Portal

Ok - we will make the request. A lot of the data is in Access format. Surface water data is not in the Query Manager format because we are unable to put surface water into QM. Would the raw surface water data in Access format be acceptable?

Eric

PETERSON Jenn L <PETERSON.Jenn@eq.state.or.us>	To
04/05/2006 01:56 PM	Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA cc
	Subject RE: Data Availability on Portal

Summing may be fine for the purposes of risk assessment (hence the "SCRA") but not for just understanding variability and nature and extent. Many of the sample concentrations in "SCRA" format are averages and not actual reported concentrations (e.g. hence the qualifier of a "T"). For modeling we would want to evaluate the raw data - not just the fate and transport, but also the BSAF, etc. All Query manager data is imported into raw format, not a summed SCRA database, just for this reason. It will be important to be consistent with that. However, we should discuss database management more. I don't believe anyone has checked to ensure the SCRA database is acceptable, even though the LWG is going forward with risk calculations. To my knowledge, NOAA is only checking the raw data. Some files on the portal are in three formats: raw, SCRA and Query manager format. Why isn't surface water? Lets resolve this because I want to get Arron the surface water data we want him to work from ASAP so he can get the information to Bruce.

I know this is confusing, so call if you have questions.

-Jennifer

-----Original Message-----
From: Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov
[mailto:Blischke.Eric@epamail.epa.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, April 05, 2006 1:30 PM
To: PETERSON Jenn L
Cc: Humphrey.Chip@epamail.epa.gov
Subject: Re: Data Availability on Portal

Jennifer, I am not sure why the SCRA data format is unacceptable. I believe that the SCRA data summarizing rules were approved by EPA and was only a way to combine multiple analyses. If there is a good reason for getting the data in a different format, we can request it. However, I would like to know why the SCRA format is unacceptable.

Eric

PETERSON Jenn L <PETERSON.Jenn@eq.state.or.us>	To
04/05/2006 01:01 PM	Eric Blischke/R10/USEPA/US@EPA, Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA cc
	Subject Data Availability on Portal

Eric and Chip,

I am trying to get Arron access to all the raw data from the portal. I was under the impression that it was all in Access format as well as Query manager format. Because of some limitations of Query manager, he may have to pull some data from the Access files. However, I noticed not all data is in this format, or, if it is, it is only in "SCRA" format and does not represent the raw data. Do you know where this information exists, or can you request it? This is esp. important for surface water since that data is not in Query manager.

Thanks,

Jennifer